

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,156	DAVIDSON, ERIC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Karen B. Tripp.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 January 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejection as stated in the Office Action dated 9-17-03

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and to cancel claim 6, and non-elected claims 8-23 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 1 lines 25-33 and page 4 lines 16-25 of the instant specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Peter A. Hruskoci
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)